UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,896	12/29/2005	Johannus Leopoldus Bakx	NL 030845	6920
24737 7590 10/25/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIA DOLLET MANOR NIV 10510			EXAMINER	
			CHOW, LIXI	
BKIAKCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/562,896	BAKX, JOHANNUS LEOPOLDUS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LIXI CHOW	2627	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	ş
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 October 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandor application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following periods:	n places the a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichev no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate exhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate exhauser 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office acts set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even it may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension fee stion; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the ap Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered becaused as the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the is appeal; and/or	sues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>see continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTC	N -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	,rL-324).
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment ca	nceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	moching the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an expla how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 21-29.	nation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-0 and 21-29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is nec was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will n entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance b	ecause:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
13. Other:	
/Lixi Chow/	
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627	

Note 3: Claims 1 and 6 have been amended to include the limitation "wherein a first user data area extends from a lead-in zone of the first layer to a radius R1 of the of the first layer, and a first middle zone and a second user data area extends beyond radius R1 of the first layer, and a third user data area extends from a lead out zone to a second radius R2 in the second layer, and a second middle zone and a fourth user data area extends beyond radius R2, wherein approximately half of the user data stream is written to each of the first and third user data areas, and substantially none of the user data stream is written to the second and fourth user data"; claim 21 has been amended to include the limitation "wherein the first user data area extends from the lead-in zone of the first layer to the middle zone, and the second user data area extends beyond the middle zone to a remainder of the first layer, and wherein approximately half of the user data stream is written to each of the first and third user data areas, and substantially none of the user data stream is written to the second and fourth user data areas"; claim 22 has been amended to include the limitation "wherein the first user data area extends from the lead-in zone of the first layer, and substantially none of the user data stream is written to the second user data area extends beyond the middle zone to a remainder of the first layer, and substantially none of the user data stream is written to the second user data area"; and claims 28 and 29 have been amended to include the limitation "the middle zone separating one of the first user data zone and the second user data zone into two areas including a third user data zone, wherein substantially none of the user data stream is written to the third user data zone". These new limitations were not previously presented; hence they raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.